Journal of Indian Dental Association Madras (JIDAM), 2026; Volume No: 13 , Issue No: 1

Review **ISSN (O): 2582-0559**
DOI: 10.37841/jidam.2026.v13.i1.005

Palatal Expanders In Pediatric Dentistry: A Review

Dr.Harshini S*, Dr.B.N Rangeeth, Dr.Rajakumar S, Dr.Bala Anusha D, Dr.Qurathul Ayn Fathima.M.J
Department Of Pediatric Dentistry,Thai Moogambigai Dental College And Hospital,Chennai,Tamilnadu,India.
harshini.sadhu@gmail.com

(Received 17th Jan 2026; Accepted 16th Feb 2026; Published 30th March 2026)

Abstract

Palatal expansion is a fundamental interceptive orthodontic procedure in pediatric dentistry aimed at correcting transverse maxillary deficiency during periods of active craniofacial growth. Early identification of maxillary constriction by pediatric dentists enables timely intervention, optimizing skeletal responsiveness of the midpalatal suture and preventing progression to complex malocclusions. This review outlines the growth and maturation of the pediatric maxilla, indications for palatal expansion, classifications of expanders, expansion protocols, and their skeletal, dental, and functional effects. Evidence highlights that palatal expanders are most effective in the mixed dentition phase, producing significant transverse skeletal widening, correction of posterior crossbites, alleviation of crowding, and improvement in airway dimensions and sleep-disordered breathing. Proper appliance selection, controlled activation, and an adequate retention phase are essential to ensure stability and minimize relapse. Overall, palatal expansion plays a pivotal role in guiding harmonious craniofacial development, improving oral health–related quality of life, and reducing the need for invasive orthodontic or surgical interventions in adulthood.

Keywords: Palatal expansion; Pediatric dentistry; Transverse maxillary deficiency; Rapid maxillary expansion; Interceptive orthodontics

Introduction

Pediatric dentists play a pivotal role in the early identification of orthodontic problems such as transverse maxillary deficiency (TMD) during routine dental examinations, allowing timely intervention that can guide jaw growth and prevent the progression to severe malocclusions. Through continuous monitoring of dental and craniofacial development, pediatric dentists assess bite discrepancies, arch constriction, crowding, and jaw disharmonies in children often as early as seven years of age in accordance with recommendations from the American Association of Orthodontists, and facilitate appropriate referrals to orthodontists when necessary.[1] Early orthodontic intervention not only improves masticatory efficiency and occlusal relationships but also reduces the likelihood of complex treatments such as extractions or orthognathic surgery in later life, while positively influencing facial aesthetics and psychosocial well-being.[2]

Epidemiological evidence indicates that TMD is a common finding in pediatric populations, with prevalence rates ranging from 8% to 18% in the mixed dentition and reports of up to 31.1% among children aged 7–18 years in certain populations, frequently presenting as unilateral or bilateral posterior crossbite; however, dental compensations or functional mandibular shifts may obscure the underlying skeletal discrepancy.[3] Maxillary expansion performed during active growth phases ideally before 15–16 years in girls and 18 years in boys—capitalizes on the patency of the midpalatal suture, enabling true skeletal expansion that enhances transverse maxillomandibular harmony, improves facial symmetry, and minimizes the risk of tooth impaction, crowding, and invasive adult interventions, given that transverse maxillary growth is largely completed by late adolescence.[4] The etiology of TMD is multifactorial, encompassing genetic predisposition, disharmonious maxillomandibular development, mouth breathing secondary to adenoid hypertrophy as described by the functional matrix theory, prolonged non-nutritive sucking habits beyond three years of age, bottle-feeding, premature loss of primary molars leading to arch collapse, and craniofacial anomalies such as cleft palate or syndromic conditions.[5]

Within this context, a pediatric dentistry–focused review is essential to emphasize early transverse correction, promote restoration of basal bone relationships during growth, integrate etiological screening with appliance planning, and prevent complications associated with expansion in mature sutures, thereby reinforcing the pediatric dentist's proactive role in achieving long-term occlusal stability and reducing the need for complex multidisciplinary care in adulthood.[6]

Review Of Literature

Palatal expanders constitute a cornerstone of interceptive orthodontic therapy in pediatric dentistry, with extensive literature supporting their role in managing transverse maxillary deficiency (TMD) and enhancing oral health–related quality of life (OHRQoL) in children. Early evidence by Sheats (2014) highlighted the effectiveness of rapid maxillary expansion (RME) in correcting posterior crossbites, producing skeletal widening of the maxilla with favorable effects on circummaxillary sutures and nasal airflow, and also reported promising outcomes in pediatric patients with sleep-disordered breathing, including improvements in obstructive sleep apnea parameters.[7] As appliance design evolved, Beretta et al. (2021) introduced innovative metal-free expanders such as the ZeroExpander, emphasizing improved comfort, reduced allergenic risk, and compliance-free therapy, particularly beneficial for children with special healthcare needs.[8] In the same year, Martins et al. (2021) evaluated the impact of palatal expanders on OHRQoL and found that although children may experience transient functional limitations during the initial phase of expansion, overall quality of life remains largely unaffected, underscoring children's adaptability to these appliances.[9] Expanding the scope beyond dentofacial outcomes, Jang et al. (2024) emphasized the need for further longitudinal research to clarify the broader behavioral, neurocognitive, and psychosocial effects of maxillary expansion in growing children.[10] Most recently, Bacci (2025) reported advances in esthetic and functional appliance design, including shape-memory and transparent materials, which enhance appliance fit, aesthetics, and patient acceptance, thereby improving cooperation in pediatric patients.[11]

Growth and Development of the Pediatric Maxilla

The midpalatal suture (MPS) develops during maxillary growth as a fibrous articulation uniting the two palatal processes, initially presenting as a wide, Y-shaped configuration in infancy and gradually transforming into a more complex and interdigitated structure that significantly influences the success of transverse maxillary expansion. Early maxillary growth predominantly occurs in the anteroposterior and vertical dimensions, with approximately 85% of adult maxillary size attained by five years of age, followed by a period of accelerated growth until around 11 years and a subsequent deceleration toward maturation.[3] Radiographic assessment using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) has categorized MPS maturation into five stages, ranging from Stage A, characterized by a straight, high-density sutural line with minimal interdigitation typically seen in infants and young children, to Stage B with an irregular, scalloped outline, Stage C showing two parallel scalloped lines separated by low-density spaces, Stage D marked by partial fusion within the palatine bone, and Stage E demonstrating complete anterior sutural fusion.[4] In children up to approximately 10 years of age, the suture generally remains broad and Y-shaped; between 10 and 13 years, it assumes a more squamous and wavy morphology, with pronounced interdigitation becoming evident by 13–14 years.[10]

With advancing age, particularly beyond the second decade of life, sutural width and volume progressively decrease, with average widths reducing from about 211 µm in individuals under 25 years to approximately 161 µm in older adults, although the timing of ossification varies considerably, occurring as early as 21 years in some individuals and remaining incomplete even into the fifth decade in others.[11] Females typically exhibit earlier sutural maturation than males, making skeletal expansion most predictable and effective in early developmental stages, ideally before 15–16 years in girls and 18 years in boys, as increasing fusion significantly limits nonsurgical expansion. As interdigitation increases, greater biomechanical resistance is encountered during expansion, elevating stress concentrations within the suture; however, overall maxillary deformation is more favorably achieved with skeletal anchorage compared to tooth-borne systems.[12] Advanced maturational stages are associated with increased sutural density and convolution, heightening the risk of dental tipping, limited skeletal response, or treatment failure in the absence of surgical assistance, thereby highlighting the importance of early intervention to capitalize on reduced interdigitation and achieve optimal skeletal outcomes.[8]

Indications for Palatal Expansion in Pediatric Patients

Palatal expansion in pediatric patients is primarily indicated for the correction of transverse maxillary deficiencies during periods of active growth, allowing clinicians to address malocclusion and functional disturbances before advancing skeletal maturation complicates treatment outcomes.[9] One of the most common clinical indications is the presence of unilateral or bilateral posterior crossbite, particularly when the transverse discrepancy exceeds approximately 4–7 mm, as untreated crossbites may lead to progressive arch constriction, dental tipping, mandibular asymmetry, and displacement from centric relation; timely expansion facilitates proper maxillary–mandibular arch coordination and restoration of a stable centric occlusion.[10]

Maxillary constriction is also frequently associated with dental crowding, especially in the mixed dentition, where transverse expansion increases arch width and perimeter, creates space for erupting permanent teeth, and reduces the risk of impaction or the need for extractions; clinical signs such as a high-arched or narrow palate and buccal inclination of posterior teeth further support the need for intervention. Functional mandibular shifts arising from occlusal interferences in crossbite cases represent another key indication, as palatal expansion can eliminate premature contacts, correct midline deviations, and promote symmetrical mandibular positioning and stable occlusal development.[11] Beyond occlusal considerations, palatal expansion offers functional benefits related to airway health by increasing nasal cavity dimensions, thereby improving nasal breathing and contributing to the management of sleep-disordered breathing, chronic mouth breathing, and snoring in children with narrow maxillary arches.[12] Additionally, expansion can enhance masticatory efficiency by improving occlusal contacts and bolus control, while also aiding in the correction of speech disturbances such as lisps associated with altered tongue posture, anterior open bites, or occlusal discrepancies, and supporting adjunctive myofunctional therapy to establish favorable oral habits and neuromuscular balance.[13]

Classification of Palatal Expanders Used in Pediatric Dentistry

Category	Type of Expander	Anchorage Source	Mechanism of Action	Clinical Indications (Pediatric Focus)	Key Advantages	Limitations
Tooth-Borne Expanders	**Haas Expander**	Molar bands + palatal acrylic	Jackscrew delivers forces to teeth and palatal tissues	Moderate transverse maxillary deficiency in mixed dentition	Improved skeletal response, reduced buccal tipping due to tissue support	Palatal tissue irritation, hygiene challenges
	Hyrax Expander	Molar bands only	Central jackscrew produces rapid sutural separation	Posterior crossbite, maxillary constriction in growing children	Easy hygiene, minimal tissue contact, effective skeletal expansion	Greater dental tipping compared to Haas
	Quad-Helix	Molar bands	Helical wire provides continuous slow expansion	Mild maxillary constriction, habit correction	Simple design, adjustable chairside	Limited skeletal expansion
	W-Arch	Molar bands	Spring action via wire loops	Mild transverse discrepancies	Cost-effective, minimal bulk	Slow and limited expansion
Tooth–Tissue Borne Expanders	**Modified Haas Expander**	Teeth + palatal mucosa	Combined dental and tissue anchorage	Mixed dentition with moderate sutural resistance	Balanced force distribution, enhanced skeletal effect	Tissue irritation, plaque accumulation
Bone-Borne	**MARPE /**	Palatal	Direct	Late	Reduced dental	Invasive,

Category	Type of Expander	Anchorage Source	Mechanism of Action	Clinical Indications (Pediatric Focus)	Key Advantages	Limitations
Expanders	**MSE**	mini-implants	skeletal expansion via TADs	adolescents with increased sutural maturation	tipping, effective nonsurgical expansion	limited pediatric use
Hybrid Expanders	**Hybrid MARPE**	Teeth + palatal bone	Combined dental and skeletal force application	Severe or asymmetric transverse deficiencies	Optimized force vectors, improved stability	Technique-sensitive
Removable Expanders	**Expansion Plate / Coffin Spring**	Teeth (removable acrylic base)	Manual screw or spring activation	Mild constriction, retention phase	Good hygiene, adjustable	Requires compliance, limited skeletal effect
Fixed Expanders	**RME Appliances**	Teeth / tissues / bone	Continuous force for rapid expansion	Moderate to severe TMD in children	Predictable results, compliance-independent	Temporary discomfort

Expansion Protocols in Pediatric Patients

Expansion protocols in children are designed to correct transverse maxillary deficiencies during periods of active craniofacial growth, capitalizing on the relative patency and adaptability of the midpalatal suture to achieve favorable skeletal outcomes. Among these approaches, rapid maxillary expansion (RME) employs heavy, intermittent forces to separate the midpalatal suture and is particularly indicated for moderate to severe transverse discrepancies, including posterior crossbites, constricted maxillary arches, dental crowding, and sleep-disordered breathing, especially in children between 8 and 10 years of age before advanced sutural maturation.[14] Standard activation protocols for RME typically involve daily expansions of approximately 0.5–1 mm, often achieved through two quarter-turns of the expansion screw per day, continued for 2–4 weeks until adequate overcorrection, usually in the range of 4–10 mm, is attained, followed by a retention phase to allow stabilization and bone formation.[15]

In contrast, slow maxillary expansion (SME) applies light, continuous forces that promote gradual dentoalveolar and limited skeletal adaptation, making it particularly suitable for mild transverse discrepancies in the primary or early mixed dentition, with advantages that include reduced discomfort, minimal anterior dental tipping, and lower stress on anchorage units over an extended treatment duration of several months.[16] Semi-rapid maxillary expansion (SRME) represents an intermediate protocol, combining moderate activation rates with improved patient comfort, and has been shown to produce skeletal and dental effects comparable to RME in selected mixed dentition cases while minimizing adverse responses. Biomechanically, RME generates forces in the range of approximately 450–1000 g, sufficient to induce temporary hyalinization of periodontal tissues and disruption of the midpalatal suture, whereas SME typically applies forces below 450 g, facilitating adaptive bone remodeling without abrupt sutural separation; in growing children, the biologic response is characterized by rapid osteogenesis following suture opening.[17]

Consequently, skeletal expansion accounts for a substantial proportion of total transverse change, estimated at 40–60%, with dental effects such as tipping and buccal inclination being comparatively limited due to the compliance of immature sutures.[18] The orthopedic effects of successful palatal expansion in children include a more parallel separation of the maxillary halves, increased transverse dimensions of the nasal cavity and nasopharynx, and widening of zygomatic and maxillary structures, contributing to improved airway patency, enhanced oxygenation, and stable occlusal relationships, particularly in patients younger than 13 years, with long-term outcomes demonstrating reduced relapse rates and favorable transverse stability when intervention is timed appropriately during growth.[19]

Effects of Palatal Expansion in Pediatric Patients

Palatal expansion in pediatric patients results in a wide range of favorable skeletal, dental, and functional outcomes by harnessing the biologic responsiveness of the midpalatal suture during growth, leading to enhanced transverse maxillary dimensions and overall improvements in oral and airway health, with the most predictable and stable effects observed during the mixed dentition phase due to greater sutural flexibility and a reduced tendency for relapse.[20] Skeletally, maxillary expansion typically produces an increase in transverse width of approximately 4–10 mm, most evident at the intermolar region, with the pattern of sutural separation often described as parallel or anteriorly triangular, thereby facilitating greater expansion in the anterior maxilla; this skeletal widening is accompanied by a concurrent increase in nasal cavity dimensions, including elevation of the nasal floor and an overall volumetric gain reported to reach 20–30%, which contributes positively to respiratory efficiency.[20]

Dentally, palatal expansion effectively corrects unilateral or bilateral posterior crossbites through a combination of skeletal base widening and controlled buccal inclination of posterior teeth, frequently resulting in the establishment of a Class I transverse occlusal relationship, while the associated increase in arch perimeter, typically ranging from 2–5 mm, helps alleviate dental crowding and supports the spontaneous eruption of permanent canines and premolars, often reducing or eliminating the need for extractions.[21] Functionally, expansion has been shown to improve nasal breathing by decreasing airway resistance and is associated with a reduction in adenoid and tonsillar hypertrophy, with reported decreases in lymphoid tissue size ranging from approximately 51% to 75%, thereby diminishing chronic mouth breathing habits; moreover, significant benefits have been documented in pediatric sleep-disordered breathing, with resolution or marked improvement reported in nearly 70% of affected children, accompanied by reductions in the apnea–hypopnea index and enhanced oxygen saturation as a result of increased nasal and pharyngeal airway dimensions.[22]

Clinical Protocols, Retention, and Considerations in Pediatric Palatal Expansion

Clinical protocols for palatal expansion in children are centered on carefully controlled activation of the expansion appliance to achieve separation of the midpalatal suture, followed by an adequate retention phase to allow bone regeneration and minimize relapse. In rapid maxillary expansion (RME), activation is typically carried out by turning the jackscrew approximately 0.5–1 mm per day, commonly achieved through one to two quarter-turns, over a period of 2–4 weeks until the desired expansion of about 4–10 mm is obtained, often clinically confirmed by the appearance of a midline diastema.[23] Although the active expansion phase is relatively short, the overall duration of treatment generally extends to 6–12 months when stabilization and retention are included, with the total active phase lasting up to 1–3 months depending on individual response. The retention phase is critical for maintaining the achieved transverse width, as it allows ossification and bridging of the separated suture to counteract elastic recoil of surrounding tissues; during this period, the expander is usually left in situ for approximately 3–9 months, effectively functioning as a fixed retainer, after which removable appliances such as Hawley retainers may be prescribed for an additional 6–12 months to ensure long-term stability. Fixed retention is generally preferred in pediatric patients due to its independence from patient compliance and superior ability to maintain skeletal changes during remodeling.[24]

Despite the overall predictability of outcomes, certain complications may arise, including transient pain and discomfort during the initial days of activation, which typically resolve spontaneously and can be managed with simple analgesics, as well as soft tissue irritation or ulceration from acrylic components in approximately 20–30% of cases, often alleviated by appliance adjustment or protective wax. Asymmetric expansion may occur due to uneven force distribution or appliance instability, while relapse rates of approximately 10–20% have been reported, most commonly associated with inadequate retention. In cases involving mini-implant–assisted expanders, miniscrew failure rates of up to 20–30% have been attributed to inflammation, mobility, or poor bone quality.[23] Special pediatric populations require tailored approaches, as children with cleft lip and palate often benefit from pre-surgical palatal expansion to align maxillary segments and reduce surgical tension, syndromic patients such as those with Down syndrome may require modified force levels due to maxillary hypoplasia, and children with compromised periodontal health necessitate the application of lighter forces with close monitoring to minimize the risk of root resorption or periodontal breakdown.[25]

Recent Advances in Palatal Expansion in Pediatric Dentistry

Recent advances in palatal expansion in pediatric dentistry reflect a clear shift toward minimally invasive, digitally driven, and patient-centered approaches that enhance precision, comfort, and clinical predictability while expanding treatment options beyond conventional rapid maxillary expansion. Innovative appliances such as the ZeroExpander exemplify this transition by employing CAD–CAM–fabricated, metal-free technopolymers like PEEK or PA12, anchored to deciduous teeth to deliver fully automated, pre-programmed transverse expansion of up to 6 mm over several months, thereby eliminating manual activation, reducing discomfort, improving compliance, and enabling safe use in MRI-dependent and special-needs pediatric patients.[26] Parallel developments include the Leaf Expander, a fixed, non-compliant appliance utilizing pre-compressed nickel–titanium leaf springs housed within a cobalt–chrome framework to deliver light, continuous orthopedic forces without patient participation, achieving controlled sutural separation with minimal pain, reduced dental tipping, and favorable spontaneous molar uprighting and nasal widening, particularly in mixed or early permanent dentition.[27] Advances in miniscrew-assisted rapid palatal expansion (MARPE/MSE) have further expanded nonsurgical skeletal correction into late mixed dentition and adolescence, with CBCT-guided digital planning and optimized implant positioning improving success rates to nearly 80–90% while minimizing dentoalveolar side effects. In addition, electronically controlled expanders with multi-keyhole designs allow precise, asymmetric, and remotely monitored activation, supporting highly individualized expansion protocols.[28]

Clear aligner therapy–based expansion systems, such as Invisalign First with optimized attachments, have emerged as esthetic and hygiene-friendly alternatives for managing mild to moderate transverse discrepancies, achieving approximately 1–4 mm of dentoalveolar expansion predominantly in the premolar region with moderate predictability, though skeletal effects and palatal volume increases remain inferior to conventional RME.[29] The integration of comprehensive digital workflows incorporating intraoral scanning, CBCT-based sutural assessment, CAD/CAM fabrication, and artificial intelligence–assisted treatment planning has enabled the development of patient-specific expanders with improved stability and reduced relapse. Collectively, current evidence supports the growing role of automated, spring-based, miniscrew-assisted, and aligner-driven expansion systems as effective, low-compliance, and adaptable solutions in pediatric palatal expansion, positioning them as valuable complements rather than replacements to traditional orthopedic expanders in growing patients.[30]

Conclusion

Palatal expansion is a cornerstone of interceptive orthodontic care in pediatric dentistry, offering predictable skeletal, dental, and functional benefits when performed during active growth. Early identification and timely intervention allow pediatric dentists to harness midpalatal suture responsiveness, correcting transverse discrepancies and improving occlusal and airway outcomes. Appropriate appliance selection, controlled activation, and adequate retention are critical to achieving long-term stability and minimizing relapse. Overall, palatal expanders play a vital role in promoting harmonious craniofacial development and reducing the need for complex orthodontic or surgical interventions in later life.

References

1. Shen J, Liu Z, Shuai J, Yin Y, Wang Z, Ding W, Chung CH, Chen Q, Zhao X. Transverse dentoalveolar development in Chinese children and adolescents: A cross-sectional study using revised Andrews' Element III analysis. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2025 Feb;167(2):144-153. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2024.08.015. Epub 2024 Oct 24. PMID: 39453340.
2. A. Midori Albert, et.al, "Craniofacial Changes in Children-Birth to Late Adolescence" *ARC Journal of Forensic Science* 2019:4(1) : 1-19.
3. Agarwal A, Mathur R. Maxillary Expansion. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2010 Sep-Dec;3(3):139-46. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1069. Epub 2010 Sep 15. PMID: 27616835; PMCID: PMC4993819.
4. Andrucioli MCD, Matsumoto MAN. Transverse maxillary deficiency: treatment alternatives in face of early skeletal maturation. Dental Press J Orthod. 2020 Jan-Feb;25(1):70-79. doi: 10.1590/2177-6709.25.1.070-079.bbo. PMID: 32215481; PMCID: PMC7077945.
5. Zhao J, Jin H, Li X, Qin X. Dental arch spatial changes after premature loss of first primary molars: a systematic review and meta-analysis of split-mouth studies. BMC Oral

Health. 2023 Jun 28;23(1):430. doi: 10.1186/s12903-023-03111-x. PMID: 37380944; PMCID: PMC10304618.

6. He J, Jiang L, Song S, Mo S. Transverse differences between cleft lip and palate and non-cleft palate with skeletal Class III malocclusion using buccolingual inclination: a cone-beam computed tomography retrospective study. BMC Oral Health. 2022 Dec 22;22(1):631. doi: 10.1186/s12903-022-02675-4. PMID: 36550501; PMCID: PMC9783461.
7. Sheats, R. D. (2014). *Rapid Maxillary Expansion for Pediatric Sleep Disordered Breathing*.https://doi.org/10.15331/JDSM.4142
8. Beretta, M., Canova, F. F., Gianolio, A., Mangano, A., Paglia, M., Colombo, S., & Cirulli, N. (2021). *ZeroExpander: Metal-free automatic palatal expansion for special-needs patients. 22*(2), 151–154. https://doi.org/10.23804/EJPD.2021.22.02.12
9. Martins, M. T., Vitorino, V. de M., Rodrigues, L. V., Lages, E. M. B., Pretti, H., Vale, M. P., Paiva, S. M., & Pordeus, I. A. (2021). *Impact of Wearing Palatal Expanders on the Quality of Life of Children Aged 8 to 10 Years*. *21*, 0166. https://doi.org/10.1590/PBOCI.2021.054
10. Jang, W., Choi, Y. J., Cha, J., Kang, Y., Kim, E., & Kim, K. H. (2024). Three-dimensional evaluation of dentopalatal changes after rapid maxillary expansion in growing children. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, *165*(1), 103–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2023.07.016
11. Bacci, H. (2025). Effects of modifying the post-curing protocol on transparency and the fit capacity of directly printed expanders for pediatric patients. *Journal of Dental Health, Oral Disorders & Therapy*, *16*(2), 33–36. https://doi.org/10.15406/jdhodt.2025.16.00639
12. Ahsan, M., Narala, B., Ednick, M., & Kier, C. (2023). Something to consider: rapid palatal expansion for treatment of obstructive sleep apnea in pediatric patients. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*. https://doi.org/10.1097/mop.0000000000001287
13. Viarani, V., Festa, P., Galasso, G., D'Antò, V., Putrino, A., Mariani, A., Bompiani, G., & Galeotti, A. (2025). Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial to Evaluate Skeletal and Dental Treatment Effects of Rapid Maxillary Expansion in Children: Comparison Between Two-Band Expander and Bonded Palatal Expander. *Applied Sciences*, *15*(13), 7187. https://doi.org/10.3390/app15137187
14. Ferrario, V. F., Garattini, G., Colombo, A., Filippi, V., Pozzoli, S., & Sforza, C. (2003). Quantitative effects of a nickel-titanium palatal expander on skeletal and dental structures in the primary and mixed dentition: a preliminary study. *European Journal of Orthodontics*, *25*(4), 401–410. https://doi.org/10.1093/EJO/25.4.401
15. Toshniwal, N. G., Nair, S., Manerikar, R., Ogale, R., & Mishra, S. (2025). Correcting posterior transverse inter-arch discrepancy with non-helical expander – A prospective study. *Journal of Global Oral Health*, *0*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.25259/jgoh_34_2024
16. Bratu, D. C., Bratu, E. A., Popa, G., Luca, M. M., Balan, R., & Ogodescu, A. (2012). Skeletal and dentoalveolar changes in the maxillary bone morphology using two-arm maxillary expander. *Romanian Journal of Morphology and Embryology*, *53*(1),35–40. https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22395497
17. Inchingolo AM, Patano A, De Santis M, Del Vecchio G, Ferrante L, Morolla R, Pezzolla C, Sardano R, Dongiovanni L, Inchingolo F, Bordea IR, Palermo A, Inchingolo AD, Dipalma G. Comparison of Different Types of Palatal Expanders: Scoping Review. Children (Basel). 2023 Jul 21;10(7):1258. doi: 10.3390/children10071258. PMID: 37508755; PMCID: PMC10378123.
18. Palatal expander approved!. *BDJ In Pract* **38**, 170 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41404-025-3129-z
19. Merry , Rasool I, Mittal S, Aggarwal I, Palkit T. Slow expansion in orthodontics -A review article [Internet]. ***Int Dent J Stud Res***. 2022 [cited 2025 Dec 14];10(3):85-91. Available from: https://doi.org/10.18231/j.idjsr.2022.019
20. Guerrero-Vargas JA, Silva TA, Macari S, de Las Casas EB, Garzón-Alvarado DA. Influence of interdigitation and expander type in the mechanical response of the midpalatal suture during maxillary expansion. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2019 Jul;176:195-209. doi:

10.1016/j.cmpb.2019.05.007. Epub 2019 May 13. PMID: 31200906.
21. Angelieri F. Prediction of rapid maxillary expansion by assessing the maturation of the midpalatal suture on cone beam CT. Dent Press J Orthod. 2016 Nov–Dec;21(6):115-125. doi: 10.1590/2177-6709.21.6.115-125.sar.
22. Angelieri F, Cevidanes LH, Franchi L, Gonçalves JR, Benavides E, McNamara JA Jr. Midpalatal suture maturation: classification method for individual assessment before rapid maxillary expansion. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2013 Nov;144(5):759-69. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2013.04.022. PMID: 24182592; PMCID: PMC4185298.
23. Bucci R, D'Antò V, Rongo R, Valletta R, Martina R, Michelotti A. Dental and skeletal effects of palatal expansion techniques: a systematic review of the current evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses. J Oral Rehabil. 2016 Jul;43(7):543-64. doi: 10.1111/joor.12393. Epub 2016 Mar 23. PMID: 27004835.
24. Yoon A, Abdelwahab M, Bockow R, Vakili A, Lovell K, Chang I, Ganguly R, Liu SY, Kushida C, Hong C. Impact of rapid palatal expansion on the size of adenoids and tonsils in children. Sleep Med. 2022 Apr;92:96-102. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2022.02.011. Epub 2022 Feb 19. PMID: 35390750; PMCID: PMC9213408.
25. Georgiadis T, Angelopoulos C, Papadopoulos MA, Kolokitha OE. Three-Dimensional Cone-Beam Computed Tomography Evaluation of Changes in Naso-Maxillary Complex Associated with Rapid Palatal Expansion. Diagnostics (Basel). 2023 Apr 2;13(7):1322. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics13071322. PMID: 37046539; PMCID: PMC10093383.
26. Beretta M, Federici Canova F, Gianolio A, Mangano A, Paglia M, Colombo S, Cirulli N. ZeroExpander: Metal-free automatic palatal expansion for special-needs patients. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2021 Jun;22(2):151-154. doi: 10.23804/ejpd.2021.22.02.12. PMID: 34238007.
27. Martinez M, Nascimento JV. 276 - Digital palatal expansion with a removable clear aligner expander in a growing patient: A case report. J World Fed Orthod. 2025 Dec;14(6):490.
28. Kurnaz M, Dayan E. Comparison of the effects of maxillary expansion applying rapid maxillary expanders or clear aligners in mixed dentition : A 3D finite element study. J Orofac Orthop. 2025 May 9. English. doi: 10.1007/s00056-025-00590-1. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 40343446.
29. Alhazmi N. A novel electronic key-controlled expander for precise asymmetric palatal expansion. Front Dent Med. [In Press].
30. Bruni A, Ferrillo M, Gallo V, Parrini S, Garino F, Castroflorio T, Deregibus A. Efficacy of clear aligners vs rapid palatal expanders on palatal volume and surface area in mixed dentition patients: A randomized controlled trial. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2024 Sep;166(3):203-214. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2024.04.006. Epub 2024 Jul 26. PMID: 39066746.